Previous references

1886
~~490~~
Sep Re

File No. 5

Serial Nos. 1

Later references.

Ex 32. 24. 1/6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

SUBJECT.

Refunds to certain Inamdars of 2 annas per gallon on liquor sold in their Inam villages

to the Dy Commr Bangalore
No. 70. Dated 3-5-84.

sanctions sanction ~~to~~ as to with Inamdars may be allowed realize the fee of 2 annas per parcel issued to the Vendors Inam Villages

G. R. S. 58

To The Dy Commrs of Districts.

No 970-77/32 Dated 26-5-87

Calls for a Statement showing all Inamdars who have a right to distil and who have a right to license fees etc., under the orders of June 1886.

3. From 1579/104 The Dy Commr Shimoga.

No 115/R.110 4-6-87.

States that none of the Inamdars in his District have a right to arrack Revenue in their villages.

4. From 1752/108 The Dy Commr Tumkur.

No 121 Dated 10-6-87

Submits the Statement called for in the Govt Procg No 970-77/32 26-5-87

5 From 1704/62 The Dy Commr Chitaldrug.

No 67/63 Dated 10-6-87

States that no Inamdar in District has a right to distil arrack or to the license fees

6. From 2578/202 The Dy ~~Dy~~ Commr Kadur.

No 185. 9-7-87

Submits a Statement of Inamdars who have a right to distil arrack and to license fees.

17. From 76/51 The Dy Hassan.

No 1711. 14-7-87

Submits a blank Statement

1

Sl 7 ... of Inamdars in this have a right to
distil arrack and to license the s
same

8 From The Dy Commr Mysore
725/87 No 270 Dated 16-7-87
Submits the statement called for
in govt progs No 970-77/32 26-5-87 (Sl 2

9 From The Dy Commr Kadur
No 2649/64 19-7-87
Requests information as to the
at which and the period for whi
the amounts entered in column
the statement submitted by the
should be calculated

10 From The Dy Commr Kadur
3184/221 No 187 26-7-87
Submits information regarding
above

11 From The Dy Commr Kolar
14327/226 No 894/282 13-9-87
States that in some of the Inam
here no right to distil and
arrack and to license the

From 1532/409

The Dy Commr. Bangalore.

No 1140. Dated 20-9-84.

Submits the Statement called for in the progs No 970-77/32. 26-5-84

The Dy Commr. Kolar

No. 3767/139 3-10-84

Requests further report on the Statement of Shanbars who have a right to distil arrack and to license heed.

The Dy Commr. Mysore

No. 3768/176 3-10-84

Requests to report, after communicating with the Jahagirdars of Yelandoor, whether the Jahagirdars have a right to distil arrack and to license toddy or not.

From 5967/315

The Dy Commr. Kolar.

No 1318/389 16-11-84.

Submits the Siddegatta Amildari Dryst No 27. Dated 7-11-84 with its enclosures.

Sl 16 From The Dy Commr Mysore
8237/609 No 729 Dated 13-2-88
States that he has not yet received any formal official reply from the Jahagirdar of Yelandoor.

Sl 17 To The Dy Commr Bangalore
No 2804 Dated 10-3-88
States that it is doubtful whether when govt abolishes an item of Remission, the Inamdar has a right to compensation for any thereby caused.

Sl 18 From The Dy Commr Mysore
3008/21 No 22 13-4-88
Submits copy of letter No 1 dated 3-4-88 from the Jahagirdar of Yelandoor.

Sl 19 To The Dy Commr Bangalore
No 12726/1-105 14-7-88
Requests to furnish with the information asked for in quantity and value of rock held in certain shops during the year 1887-88, before passing final orders on the subject

Sl 20. To. The Dy Commr. Mysore.

No 2723/119 dated 14-7-88

Requests to report what sum was actually paid each year to the Jahagirdar of Sivasamudram during the 3 years previous to 31st March 89 on account of license fees on retail arrack shops in the Jahagir.

Sl 21. From The Dy Commr. Mysore

No 3811/287 No. 337 15-8-88

submits a statement showing the amount actually paid to the Jahagirdar of Sivasamudram as requested above.

To

The Ex Commr.

The Comptroller

The Dy Commrs of Bangalore Kadur and Mysore

No 1019-24/121 8-5-89

Sanctions the refund to certain Inamdars of 2 annas per seer levied in lieu of license fees on retail arrack shops.

T. V. Ramaswamy Iyengar.

General Serial No. 2

1887-88

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
30 MAY 87

From The Dy Commissioner

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Bangalore Distr

Dated 31 May 1887.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1887-88.

No. 70.

SECTION S. R

FILE NO. 5 of 86

SERIAL NO. 1

Solicits early orders as whether the Inamdars by Virtue of their Sanad may be allowed to realize the fee of 2 annas on each gallon of Arrac to the Vendors of Inam Villages.

Sd

31/5

Memo.

SECTION S. R

FILE NO. 5 of 86

SUBJECT

No. 308/8 8th Jan. 1886 from the Secy. to the Govt.

Sy Inamdars in the Bangalore District.

19 ——"—— Colar ——

12 ——"—— Tumkur ——

The Supt. of the Nandidrug Div. submitted statements showing the Kayamgutta & Inam villages which have till then enjoyed Abkary profits some in virtue of tody & arack bring fees fixed in their Sanads and others merely by the permission of the Revenue Authorities. It appeared to the Supt. that while those individuals & institutions that could prove by their Sanads that the Abkary of their villages was granted & them were entitled to compensation the expediency of making any such concession in cases of unauthorized privileges was doubtful. Orders on the general question were solicited, as complaints

vid-brew preferred by
ome of those who were
sers by the change
system.
A D after memo. submitted
ith the above letter states
hat the toddy arrack
f the late Bangalore
Division had been rented
by W. J. Morgan & Gelay
allaparatty for 5 years
rom 1st May 1862 to April 1867
n condition that they
should not interfere with
ose items in the Inam,
odi & Kaigunguttu villages
ie holders of which
ere allowed them in their
anams. but that from
May 1864 arrack had been
o be sold according
the new system. The question
as whether the Inamdars
quisition might be paid
nually compensation calculated
fter deducting the Expense
distilling arrack and

the cost of establt from the total collection of the respective villages as was done in the late Amany Management. By allowing the Inamdars to establish stills in their villages would give great room for fraud.

2. Reports were called for on the subject from the Supts of the Ashtagram & Nagar Divisions.

3. The Supt of Nagar stated that no distillation of arrack in Inam villages should be permitted. But to those Inamdars to whom the abkaree revenue was granted by their Sanads the license fee levied on the Retail shops open in their Inam villages may be paid, to care being taken at the same time that the rate is fixed & the selection made only by the

x No. 4608/61 & 16th Jany/66 & Ashtagram

No. 51/31/64 & 12th Feby/66 & Nagar.

No. 60/15 & 17th May/66

Sirkar.

The Shemoga Dy. Supt's report goes for nothing, reported The Kadur Dy. Supt. that the only Inamdar who claimed the Abkary Revenue in his District was the Sringeri Mâth, for the villages of Sringeri in Ogar and Belwadi in the Chickmagalur Taluk. At the time when the Abkary was in Inam the Inam village (Sringeri) was supplied with arack at home cost. Since the introduction of the Sadder distillery system there was a retail shop in Sringeri for a few months and the fees collected from the vendor was credited to for. Belwadi todd. was given out on contract by the Inamdar & no arack seemed to have ever been distilled at that place.

The Dy. Supt. of Chitaldrug reported that by allowing the Inamdars to distil in their

* Vide Mr. Bowring's remarks in margin of letter No. 269 of 19th May 1866. the Supt.

o No. 141 of 5th April 1865 Supt.

x. No. 148 of 4th July 1866

villages, they would be
cheat the Govt of the
such a practice did not
exist in his District.

4. The Supt of Ashtagram
merely forwarded a state-
ment of Inamdars entitled
& Abkary revenue in his
Division.

5. The Council in passing
final orders said that the
difficulty might be best
met by allowing such Inam-
dars as held a District
right & distil stipulated
in their Sanads, & establish
a retail shop in one or
more villages, the license
fees for which might be
made payable & their
sale the control & manage-
ment in all respects shd
be retained in the hands
of Govt: a claim & this
concession founded on mere

×Docket No $\frac{535}{24}$ 9th June /66.
@81 in the Nagar District
36 —"— Hassan —"—
117 for the Division.

×No $\frac{1183}{25}$ 27th June /66 & the
Supt Nandidrug Div

(Copy forwarded to the Supts of
Nagar & Ashtagram for in-
formation & guidance)

custom & usage not being
redressible. The prohibition
against the existence of
distilleries within Inam
villages was directed to be
strictly maintained.

---

1. With reference to the
above instructions (para 5)
the Nagar Supt reported that
the Sringeri Mat was
required to produce its
Sanad under which the
Abkaree revenue was claimed,
and that from the copy of this
Sanad, dated 1828
produced by the Mat Parpattegar,
it was clear that the original
Sanad of the Mat had been
lost prior to the death of the
Peroop, & that the Abkaree
revenue had nevertheless
been enjoyed by the Mat.
The Supt recommended that
the license fees on such
shops as might, in com-
munication with the Dept.

× No 406 of 24th Oct
140
& the Secy. & the Com

Supt be established in the Sringeri Inam, might be paid to the Mal and that the retail dealers be directed to supply themselves from the nearest Wholesale shops or Sadder Depôt, as may be determined by the Dy Supt.

In conveying the Commissioner's sanction to the Supt's recommendation, it was observed that upon the understanding however since the date of Kishnaji Nerodji's 828 [illegible] of the Sringeri Mahal the Abkary revenue [illegible] Inam villages had been recognized payments may be made to the Mal Dept license fees levied in the Retail shops which may with the concurrence, and under the authority of the Dy Supt be established in the Sringeri Inam villages.

3. The Nagar Supt. ap

4244
94

Nov-/66.

4th April/67. & Encs.

ired for observations
garding the opening of
eparate heads in the
Okarce Return, Class VI.
o. 1 of Coffee Return, Class
I. No. 2 for the insertion of
uments to be made of
naundars according to the
Govt instructions (para. 2.)

The Comm^rs sanction to
e proposed alteration in the
counts was conveyed.

Secretary's No. 514/10
26th April/67.

th regard to the question of
editing the Mat with the
ctions levied on Coffee pro-
eed in the Straights Settle-
ents, the Comm^r was of opinion
at the Inaundars must be
d^t possess a claim to the
dat on Excise dues on
ch produce equally as
id as that which had
en already accorded to
m with regard to the Excise
Sodparee.

An Extract of paras 4 of
from a Memo. received

Secretary's No. 5450/140
24th Dec. /67.

from the Collector of the
Zilla Mal was forward
ed to the Nagar
Supt. the former for his
opinion & the latter for
report whether it was
advisable to establish a
stamp Vendor at Sringeri.
Extract. Para 4. The
revenue derived from
Panchbab in Shringeri
Pagheri belonged from time
immemorial to the Mah.
Latterly the collection of the
revenue on this head was
returned in Cowaree to the
Govt. & was paid to the Mah.
But now the Govt. had taken
the collection of the revenue
& relieved them of
prospriated it & themselves
is therefore now desired
that the revenue may be
ored to the Mah as for
merly.
Para 9. Stamp papers
sold by the Govt. for the

Jaghear villages, & the revenue derived therefrom was added to the Circar. It was requested that this privilege may be attached to the Mat.

2. With reference to para 4 above (Extract) the Nagar Supt. observed that the original Sanad of the Mat has never been produced so that it could not be positively asserted that the Mat was entitled to the Abkaree collections until this document had been scrutinized by the Inam Comm. The Mat however by custom formerly enjoyed the profits realized by distilling & selling arrack a system which was modified when the Abkaree was taken under the direct management of the Govt & arrack was supplied at prime cost, the Mat obtaining it.

To Sect. No. 686/237 dt 22.2.68.

the profits on the retail
sale. In accordance with
the Secretary's letter No 1183
of 27th June 66 the license
fees on retail shops within
the limits of the Drum
were allowed to be taken
by the Mah. It appeared
therefore that the press
application was for the
sole head duty of 7½ Re
per Gallon & though the
Supt was not prepared
say that the claim of
Mah was inadmissible,
he did not see how it
could be allowed without
the risk of gross abuse. It
would be impossible to per-
mit the Srirangam officials
to take whatever quantity
of liquor they liked from
the Govt Distillery free of
duty, as they would, of course
take as much as they
could at the distillers
price and make a profit

by his position to sell at a lower rate than any other retail sellers could afford to do. They could moreover clandestinely supply retail sellers beyond their limits with liquor cheaper than the latter could buy it at the Distillery, and it was therefore probable that the Govt. revenue wd. suffer. The only way that the Supt. could suggest of meeting the difficulty (if the claim of the Goorso was admitted) was to place a certain limit on the quantity of liquor allowed free of duty to the Mât.

With reference to 6th para of above the Supt. thought that the Mât could not either on the grounds of their Samad or custom rightly claim the stamp revenue, but the amount was so insignificant (No. 921-13.-P.A.)

that it had not been worth the while of a vender to remain in Srinagar & sell stamps. The real object of the Sooroo was not, the Supt believed, to get the trifling sum realized by the sale of stamps, but to obtain the exclusive power of issuing stamp papers to applicants & thus be able to refuse to give them in cases in which any transaction was distasteful to the Sooroo or other leading parties in Srinagar.

Secretary's No. 679 / 138
dt March 68

3. The Supt was requested to report what amount was formerly realized by the Shringar Mart when the Abkari was retained in Amani & what sum was now realized by fees from license dealers. The difference appears to be the sum to which the Mart was entitled if it enjoyed the right of distilling.

receipts was declared to be inadmissible.

4. The following information was recd. furnished by the Nagar Supt.

Docket No. 114/38 of 4th June 1868, to the Secretary to Govt

District	Amount of profit under the former system			Amount realized by the Mahs by fees from licensed dealers		
Shemoga:	5	9	7	minimum 4.	10	4
				maximum 24	"	"
Kadur:	4 11	6	2	42	4	"

5. The Commr was of opinion that the claims of the Mahs would be fairly met by guaranteeing to it Rs. 500/- per annum & the Supt was requested to ascertain if the Mahs would accede to the proposal.

Letter No. 15 08/39 of 13th June /68 to Supt Nagar

6. The Mahs desired that the entire revenue under the head 'Abkari' may be made over to it.

Supt No. 356/138 of 14th Sept /68

7. The Supt was again desired to

× Secretary's No. 3823/53 of 30th Sept /68

Kagan Supt. & Secretary
No. 491/9.12 & 11.12.68.

Secretary's No. 5131/131
22.12.68.

& prosperity, explain & the Govt that the abkaree system in force did not admit of any other mode of adjusting the Govt's claim than a pecuniary composition & that the erection of a separate Distillery within the Imam could not be permitted.

2. In reply the Mal agent proposed that the Mal would open a shop in the village under its own agency, the requisite being supplied from the Govt Distillery & the Halaal accruing thereupon paid to the Mah, or that everything might be carried on as heretofore the amount derived from the sale of shops & halaal or liquor minus the charges being paid to the Mah.

3. The Supt was desired to report if he saw any objection to either of the two proposals recommended by the Mah being acceded to. The former suggestion seemed inadmissible unless the Dr. Supt could clear

That amount of liquor was really consumed.

10. The Supt. reported that he saw no objection to the proposal of the Mâl, that the Abkaree management of the Drum be entirely left to Govt as heretofore & the amount derived from the sale of the Passes & the realizations from the Mâl duty on liquor imported for consumption in the Drum villages minus the charges of establt to be paid to the Mâl. The Kadur Dy. Supt. furnished a statement showing according to the Mâl accounts that the Abkaree revenue averaged Rs. 927 per annum up to 1863/64 and according to the Govt. accts for the subsequent period Rs. 476/- per annum. The average of both items was Rs. 752. No. 244/20 of 19th April/64. Dy. Supt Kadur No. 9 of 5th May/64.

11. The Comm.r said that the Supts proposal (para 10) may be agreed unless the Mâl objected Comrs orders on Supt's letter No. 244/20 of 19th April/64

agreed to accept Rs. 800 per annum in adjustment of his emoluments.

2. The Nagar Supt. reported that the Math Sarvakar was unwilling to accept Rs. 800 & desired the adoption of his own proposal (para 1 above). It was further stated that the Sooroo himself would be communicated as an early settlement was desirable the Supt suggested that Rs. 800 be fixed as compensation.

fls 1 No 3215/155 25th Sept-1

2 No 3527/86 2nd Oct 69

13. The Comm. confirmed in the above recommendations.

3432/162 4th Oct-69

14. The Supt brought to notice the reply sent by the Sooroo desiring that the Aborkare management might remain under Govt & the Math paid the collections from Still head duly minus the proportionate cost of estt.

etargs No 3786/89 18th Oct 69

15. The Comm. accepted the Sooroo proposal as a temporary measure.

Supt. No. 971/15

In reporting on an arzee from the Sawadikar of the Rajpuri Mail complaining of delay in the payment of the Mail collections the Supt. stated that under the present system of audit the Mail is paid about the 3rd of the month following that in which it is due, but if the post audit system could be carried out, the Mail could be paid immediately after the close of each month during which the collections were made, on an advance to be adjusted on receipt of the audited bill.

Secretary's No. 1298 of 18 9th June/69.

The Chief Commissioner sanctioned the payment to the Mail of the Rajpuri dues immediately after the amount is certified by the Treasury Officer to be at credit. After which the bill should be forwarded for audit duly stamped.

Dy. Comr. - to Chief Secretary No. 70 of 3rd May/87.

In forwarding a letter from B. K. Venkatavardaiyar, Shandar Cashier begging that the levy under the new system of 2 Anns. may be taken from the Retail Vendors

Bangalore Dr. Comm. reported
that license fees on arrack
shops in the Inam villages had
hitherto been levied by the
Inamdars. Since the abolition
of such fee & duty
on all sales of arrack in
the retail shops & the introduc
tion of an uniform fee of 2
Rs. per gallon issued & plac
elsewhere than the City & the Civil
& Military Station of Bangalo
the Dr. Comm.r was of opinion that
Inamdars who have been en-
joying this revenue under the
authority of their Sanads
may be allowed & realize the
fee of 2 Rs. on each gallon
issued to the Vendors in Inam
Villages in lieu of the abolish
license fee.

970-77/32 of 26th May/87. 2. The Dr. Comm.r desired Dy.
Dy. Comrs. of Districts. Comm.rs to submit a statement
for the 3 Yrs ending 31st March
shewing all Inamdars in their
Districts who, under the terms
of their Sanads, had a

Rs under the Orders conveyed
in the late Chf. Commrs letter
No 1183/25 & 27th June/86, had
been in receipt of the fees in
question.

1. The Dy. Commrs of
Shimoga, Chitaldrug, Tumkur
Kolar & Colar reported
that there were no such
Inamdars in their Districts.
The Dy. Commrs of Mysore
Bangalore have submitted
the required information which
has been already tabulated.

× Para 3 above.

1.	Dy. Commr's	No. 115	4th June/87.
2.	do.	" 110/67/53	10th do.
3.	do.	" 121	10th do.
4.	do.	" 1763	14th July/87.
5.	do.	" 89/282/1318/389	13th Sept./87. 16th Nov./87.
6.	do.	" 185	9th July/87.
7.	~~do.~~	~~" 440	20th Sept./87~~
8.	do.	" 270	16th July/87.
		" 129	4th Feby./88
		" 22	13th April/88
		" 440	20th Sept./87

4. In passing orders on the
Bangalore Dy. Commrs original
letter the Dewan observed (× Para above)
that it was doubtful whether,
when Govt. abolished an
item of revenue, an Inamdar
had the right to compensation
for any loss thereby caused.

Chief Secretary's No. 2804
of 8th March/88 to the Dy.
Commr Bangalore.

---

1. The Dy. Commr. Kadur requested

No. 205 of 27th July/87
to Chief Secretary

To Chief Secy. No. 422
13th Febr. /88.

requested that the [illegible]
of Govt. might be obtained
as to the manner in which
to abkaree allowance
ine & the Sangbor Mah
should be continued & be
aid. The arrack contrac
being for the whole provin
the demand, collection &
balance of arrack revenue
is not separately accounted
for in his District but the
amounts paid by the
contractors into the treasur
of his Dist. are remitted t
the Bangalore District.
The Madras Govt. Comml. Circular
again on the subject said that
until the close of last year
the monthly allowances due t
the Mahs were paid in accor
dance with the Refund Rule
sanctioned by Govt. from
time to time. The arrack
contract having been sub
farmed out for the whole
Province of the Khis to arrange
& be credited at the Kaveripa

District Treasury the Dy.
Comm^r of Bangalore was requested
to take the necessary
steps for the disbursement of
the amount due to the Mâl
& he states in reply that final
orders on the subject of the
Dewan's Proc^s No. 970-778/32
26th May last are awaited
& early orders are requested.

× Page 10 above.

---

1. The Agent of the Mâl
complained to the Dewan
that the Abkary allowance
due to the Mâl had remained
unpaid for the last 11 months
and that the delay was much
felt inasmuch as the Mâl
had been assigning a greater
portion of its other income
towards the liquidation of the
Palace loan.

No. - dt 15th March, 88.

2. The above was forwarded
to the Dy. Comm^r Bangalore
for early adjustment or
report.

Chief Secretary's No.
741/406 dt 21.3.88.

3. To refer the Dy. Comm^r

No. 2389 dt April 88.

merely recapitulates the
correspondence summa
in paras 1 to 4 above. (Pa
9 & 10.)
Submitted.
K/K 1/6.

Under C Cs' letter No. 1183/25 dd 27 Ju 1866 certain
Inamdars (entitled under Sanad to Levy) were allowed to
Collect Licence fees and fees on Excise les, from Retail
Shops Established within their Inams.
From Stts received from the Dept of ing a few
Inamdars are entitled to the Special ion of Revenue.
Their names are the following :—

Bangalore Dt. { 1. Borgamarankatte (Lingangatte)
2. Kaglipur —— (Seven Inam.)
3. Kadur —— ——— Dt.

Mysore Dt. 4 Sivansamudra Jagir

Kadur Dt. 5. Srirangapatna Jagir

Previous to the abolition of fees on Separate Cess of
fees or licenses & Extra Sales, the aforenamed
Village were in receipt of the ging them from
the Retail Shops established within tain limits as follows

Borgamarankatte on One Shop — Rs. 4 per annum
Kaglipur .. on two Shops Rs 8 per annum.
Kadur .... on One Shop Rs 4 per annum
Sivan Samudra on One Shop — Rs 4 per annum (?
at Belakawadi .... 4 + 6 for Extra Sales
on Six shops — Rs.

The Govt. have abolished the fees in question, but levies in lieu thereof 2 annas per Gallon. The Inamdars in question are probably entitled & whatever is levied on the Shops in their Villages. The question for decision is whether the Shr. gives them 2 annas per Gallon, or Rs. 24 per Shop; whether they get more or less from the 2 annas levy. Can Govt. direct the Shr. to give us at once the No. of Gallons sold in the Retail Shops of the Villages above named? Ascertain & get the information

2. The case of the Sringeri Inamdar is upon a totally different footing. The Mutt is entitled not merely & the fees in question like the other Inamdars named, but also & the Excise duty levied upon Consumption within the Inamdar Limits charges of Management — Vide C Cs orders of 18 Oct 1869.

Copy of the Kadur Dy Comrs. No 114 of 21 June 1888 shd be sent to the Dy Comr. Bangalore who shd be instructed & Verify the Statement appended thereto & to submit a refund Bill for duty (at Rs 3-5-0) on Arrack Consumed within the Inamdar. — The Mutt's claim for the fee of 2 annas will be disposed of in the orders on the general question ref. & in para 1 above.

14-7-88. V Sheshadri Jr

Draft to D.C. Cuddapah at once - Jr. 14/7



25

Memo

Govt Procs No 1183/25
Dy 27th June/66

(1) Certain Maundars got from retail shops within their limits, license fees & fees on extra sales. These fees were abolished from 1st April 68 and 2 anna gallon Cess was introduced in lieu thereof

Chief Comrs Procs
Dy 26th Oct 69
(No 34 File IV)

(II) Commuted the arrack dues of Sivasamudram to Rs 278-9-0

Devans Procs No 272/10.
Dy 14th July/88
(No 29) File IV

(III) Rates per the Maundars should be paid license fees realized in 1886-87 or actual collection & Cess.

Devans Procs No 1019-4/121
Dy 8th May/89
(No 34 File VI)

(IV) Decided that Sivasamudram should get 278-9-0 under Chief Comrs orders Dy 26th Oct 69 & that the others viz 3 in

n Galoid Bringeri,

uld get actual real

ations from the 2 anna

Note by the Special Assistant Comr. —

The Excise Comr should be referred to the Dewans printed Memo Dy 19 Dec 91 which indicates the lines on which the whole question of the Excise Revn from Arrack under all heads is to be dealt with. In para 4 thereof it is clearly stated that the separate levies one of which pertains to the gallon fee, (which took the place of License fees & 4 annas Sur-charges on certain fixed quantities) were to be merged in "duty proper"

2. The amount realized by the sale of shops in out-lying Taluks therefore now represents the License fees thereat, and was evidently the mode adopted to realize that levy at the outset (prior to 1870) in the Sungeri and doubtless also

in other Distts holdings before fixed Scales were authorized in 1870 (17) Vide Proc dtd 8th 10/5 (17) Sec I

Dy 16th May/70. — In para 4 of Proc dtd 27th 26/105 (29) Sec IV Dy 14th July/88 in this connection Govt were of opinion that "the Inamdars in question are probably entitled to whatever is levied from the shops in their Inam Village". Govt allowed them to levy the License fee & Surcharge on Excess Sales, and after that, the 2 annas gallon fee.

3. The Excise Comrs should therefore under the orders of 8th May/89 (GO 1019-4/121) (34) Sec V submit refund bills for the full amount realized by the Sale of Shops in all the 3 cases in the Bangalore Distt as the Rural Farmers bear the cost of Carriage &c & not Govt; and deduct cost of carriage alone at the rates fixed in the Carrying Contractors agreements and pay the net amount to the Inamdars in

× Kuglipura, Kudlur, Boyamaranhalli

× The total amt is Rs
Rs 460 62/93
Rs 690 (93/94-15 months)
Rs 1090

in case of ... Jahazis Shops

4 The question of "wreck" does not pertain to this item at all, and has been therefore otherwise disposed of under its proper head & to proper place in so far as this affects the Serangs Jahazis (see separate slip on "Duty")

5 The claims of the Yolandra Jahazwadar will be considered when submitted. He must produce his Sanad. He promised to do so twice but failed

6 Submitted for orders Have I draft reply accordingly

Asst Surveyor
Shd Appr

26/7/94

Personal Surrender
Serangs Gunners
& Topaz claims to
4/8/94

D. No. 70.

Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore Distr
Dated 3rd May

To

The Chief Secretary to the Go
of Mysor

Sir,

With reference to the accom-
panying Copy of letter dated 24th
Ultimo, from Mr B. K. Venkatavaradaya
Sarvamanyendar of Kaglipur village
in the Kankanhalli Taluk, I have
the honor to inform you that
License fees on Arrack Shops in the
Inam village had hitherto been
levied by the Inamdar like other
Inamdars, by virtue of Sannads
granted to them for holding Inams.

2. Since the abolition of the
levy of such fee and duty on escess
[illegible] the Retail Shops

troduction of an uniform fee
2 annas per gallon issued to the
laces other than the City and
il and Military Station of
ngalore, it is a question whether
e Inamdars should not be
lowed to collect the latter fee
: lieu of the license fee abolished.

I am of opinion that the
amdars who have been enjoying
is Revenue under the authority
their Sannads, may be allowed
realise the fee of 2 annas on
ery gallon issued to the Vendors
Inam Villages.

The favor of an early reply
solicited.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

Mysore 17th June 1874

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

(1/2)

Sir

Retail Vend of Arrack. Up to this year Government was levying fee on licenses. Now no such fee is levied, but 2 annas per gallon, is collected at time of purchase.

In my Inam Village of Kaghipur (in the Kankanhilly Taluk) which have been levying the license fee from the vendor and you have been giving fresh license to him. But now he is also required (this year) to pay 2 As per gallon. This rate of 2 annas is in lieu of the license fee, and as no license fee was being levied from our man I beg you will have the goodness to issue orders not to levy the 2 annas rate from him. I humbly pray for early orders in the matter.

I have &c.

(Signed) B. K. Venkatvardiengy
Proprietor of Kaghipur Peta

"Copy"

A. Macintire
Deputy Commissioner

9/5/87

Revenue

SECTION S R

FILE No. 5 a/f 81

SERIAL No. 2

(2)

2 0970-77 5/26/57

Dr. Wm. L. Marcy, Chairman

Revenue Office

Washington

Sir

Calling for a statement
shewing all Drawbacks wh
have a right to distillers
and who moor the owners
June 1866 have a right to th
License fees &c therefor.

31/5/87

Rec'd
5/11/87

1/3 (2)

Read:-

Letter No. 70 dated 3rd May 1887 from the Dy Comm Bangalore District

Order thereon:- 970-77 26-5-

Under clause (b) of Condition X of the Notification dated 31st Dec. licence fees and fees on access sales in the case of retail arrack shops outside the City and the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore were abolished from 1st April 1887.

Deputy Commrs are requested to submit at an early date a statement in the accompanying form for the three years ending 31st March 1887, shewing all Enamdars in their Districts who, under the terms of their Samads, have a distinct right to distil, and who under

the orders conveyed in
the late Chief Commrs letter [x]
1183/25 dd 27th June 1866, ha
been in receipt of the fee
in question.

[x] Vide page 217 of the old Revenue manual, 1874

R. F.
Chief Secy

To Dy. Commrs of Distrs

Form of State[ment] above referred to.

Taluk & Revenue Division village.	Name of Inamdar & residence.	No. and nature of Retail shops in each year.	Name of retail vendor.	Amount of Licence & Peshcush & Inamdar's each year.	Sum or excess sales realized by Inamdar in each year.	Remarks.

Proceedings of the Dewan to His I
(Revenue),—dated

ness the Maharaja of Mysore,
h May 1887.

THE FOLLOWING PAPER:—

Letter No. 70, dated 3rd May 1887, from the I

' Commissioner, Bangalore Distri

No. 970-77—32, DA

6TH MAY 1887.

ORDER THEREON.—Under clause (*b*
1st December 1886, license fees and fees
iops outside the City and the Civil and
d from 1st April 1887. Deputy Cor
arly date a statement in the accompan
March 1887, showing all inamdars in th
annads, have a distinct right to distil, ar
Chief Comm
June 1866,

ondition X of the Notification dated
cess sales in the case of retail arrack
y Station of Bangalore were abolish-
ners are requested to submit at an
rm for the three years ending 31st
tricts who, under the terms of their
under the orders conveyed in the late
s * letter No. 1183—25, dated 27th
n in receipt of the fees in question.

* Vide page 217 of the old Revenue Manual, 1874.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Form of Statement above referred to.

Taluk and Name of Inam Village.	Name of Inamdar.	No. and Situation of Retail Shops in each year.	Name of Retail Vendor.	Amount of License Fee recovered by Inamdar in each year.	Fee on excess sales realized by Inamdar in each year.	Remarks.


1887.
VERNMENT OF
REVENUE
PROCEEDINGS
ated 12th May 1887.
Calling for a statement showing all
ndars who have a right to distil arrack
who under the orders of June 1886 have
ght to the license fees, &c., therefor.



Refer 8/6 A.C.

13

al Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 1579. 1 JUN. 87 164

1887. 8

From The Deputy Commissioner Silimoga District

4

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Pet-rep Jes
them inhabitants Sealdars
them and Peons Inf
13.6.87
13/6. 8

SECTION S R

FILE No. 5 a/ 86

No. 3

Dated 4th June 1887

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. –

General No. 115 } 1887. 8
R No. 110

Proceedings dt 27th/32 ult^o

the 26th ultimo –

With reference to the above, has the honour to state that none of the Sircundars in this District have a right to attach revenue in their villages –

I have the honour to be
Your most obedient Servant
For Deputy Commissioner

A. Box

Rupees 14/6/87

(General Serial No. 29.)

11

188 OFFICE OF THE 175-2. 1 DEC 81 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER Tumkur District

100

3

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Papers have been sent to Press for printing, not received as yet

RS.
18/6

SECTION S R

FILE NO. 5 a/88 b

SUB NO. 4

Dated 10th June 1887

Despatched 12.

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No. / No. 121 } 1887—88.

The Dewan's order No. 970-7 X 32 dated 26th May 1887. —

Has the honor to submit the Statement called for in the above. —

M. D. Munro

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

E.H.

Statement shewing the Inam Villages and Nos: and situation of retail arrack shops together with the amount of License fee and fee on excess sales realized by Inamdars for the three years ending 31st March 1887.

Taluk & Name of Inam village	Name of Inamdar	No. and situation of Retail shops in each year.	Name of Retail Vendor	Amount of License fee received by Inamdar in each year.	Fee on excess sales realized by Inamdar in each year	Remarks.
			Nil			

Enclosure to Letter No. 3 R

Inamdar District
Deputy Commr's Office
Dy 10th June 1887

Rlch

Dy Commr
Deputy Commissioner

Collects
sent up
13/6/87

12

eral Serial No. 29.)

188 OFFICE OF THE 1704- 15 JUN. 81 62

rom The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug Division

5

CTION C. R

FILE No. 5 a 486

SERIAL No. 5

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 10th June 1887

Despatched 10th "

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 62 / No. 63. } 188 .

Proceedings of
Dewan No. 970
dated 26th "

Has the hono
State that with
Office Docket n
dated 5th July
a statement she
the Enam and K
- gutta villages
this District an
various items
Revenue, other
the land, collec

x. No trace of this

by Inamdars was submitted, from which it would appear that no Inamdar has a right to distil arrack & the licence fees.

Ind. H. K.B.

Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug Dist.

A-5

Called? 8/4/7

eneral Serial No. 29.)

5 1887

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 2578 14 JUL 87 202

7

om The Dy Comr Kadur

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

1888

SECTION S.R.

FILE NO. 5 ag 86

SERIAL No. 6

Dated 4 July

Despatched 11 July

Received 12 July

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1355 } 188

No. 205 dated 2nd July 1887 from the Deputy Commissioner Kadur

I have the honor to forward as required in Proc. of Dewan No. 976-7 32 of 28th May last, the accompanying statement of Shandars in this District who have a distinct right to distil & who have been in receipt of Licence fees & fees on excess sales for the 3 years ending 31st March last.

I am Sir
Your most obt Servt
Dy Comr
Kadur

Dr/2 f Appl

Compare figures with with rates in force - How are these arrived at.

24/7

For 2 Shops at Kusba & Shimigeri @ 72 Rs per annum = 144 ✓

" 4 Other Shops in villages @ 24 Rs per annum = 96 ✓

Total 240 ✓

but the amount entered is Rs 234 - The difference of Rs 6 may be due to the closing of one of the Village shops for a quarter of the year.

Perh 14/7/87

Enquiries & Calculation made by 14/7/87

Statement showing the Inamdars in the Kadoor District, who, under the terms of their Inams have a district-right to distil, and who, have been in receipt of licence and fee on excess sale of gallons as per late Chief Commissioner's letter No. 1183/25 D/27 June 1887. (Diwan Proceedings No. 270-7/32 D/ 26th May 1887.)

Taluk and name of Villages	Name of Inamdars	No. and situation of retail shops in each year	Name of retail Vendor.	Amount of licence fee recovered by Inamdars in each year.	Fee on Excess sales realized by Inamdars in each year.	Remarks.
Upa Taluk Nigeni hagir		1884-85.				
		1st Kasba No. 1	Ranna			
		1 — do — No. 2	Rangasami			
		1st Kenchebile	Putta	198	56 3/4	No other Inamdars in the
		1st Kelavalli	Gudda			

Trans.	1885-86.				these fees
	As above and at Suradabogahu	As above and Thimma	210	92 1/2	
	1886-87				
	As above	As above	234	67	
		Total	642	216 1/4.	

No 3

Chikmanglur 3
9th July 1887 3

Transmitted Col.
Offg: Dy Commissioner
Kadur Dt.

8 Mss.

Called for
15/7

SECTION S R
FILE No. 5 of 86
SERIAL No. 7

General Serial No. 29.)



9

From

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 14" July/87

Despatched 16-7 July/87

Received

Enclosures No./

General No. 174 / 1887.
No.

Submitting a blank State-ment showing the particulars of Naudars who have a distinct right to distil Arrack in the Hassan District.

No. 174
8

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S HASSAN DISTRICT. OFFICE.

1889

Dated Hassan 14th June 1889.

From,

P. N. Narayya Rayru B. A.
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Referring to the Dewan's Pro-
-ceedings at 970-7/32 of the 26th May last,
I have the honor to report that there
are no Inamdars in this District who
in accordance with the terms of their
Sanads have a distinct right to distil
arrack, or at present distilling and
have been in receipt of the fees.

A blank statement is however
herewith

submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

[signature]

Commissioner
Kapaa District

Statement showing the particulars of Inamdars who have a distinct right to
istil Arrack in the Hassan District.

Taluk and Name of Inam village.	Name of Inamdar.	No. and situation of retail shops in each year.	Name of retail Vendor.	Amount of license fee recovered by Inamdar in each year.	Fee on excess sales realized by Inamdar in each year.	Remarks
Hassan.						
Ranganabad						
Belur.						
Arsikere	Enclosure to Lr dd.	Nil				
Channarayapatna						
Kolé Narsipur						
Arkalgud						
Gramadevan						

Commissr Office Hassan
dated 15th July 1887.

M Thimmaya
Commissioner

Called for

SECTION S R

FILE NO. 5 of 1886

8

Draft - for appl -

What was rate of fee for Mysore Dr. What was actually paid to Jagheerdar previous to French Contract?

14/7.

The rate for village was Rs 24 per annum.

Draft submitted

Called for

SECTION S R

FILE No. 5 of 86

C... No. 8

eral Serial No. 29.)

188

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
2725
20 JUL 87
20

om

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

10

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

ted 14 16 July 87

Despatched 18

Received 20 July 87

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 270 } 183
No.

Dewan's Proceedings
No 970-87 dated 26 "
May 1887.

Draft - for approval

What was rate of
pay in Mysore Dt.
What was actually
paid to Jagheerdar
previous to present
Contract?

14/7.

The rate for village was Rs 24 per annum.

Draft submitted
Mark

220
22/7

Has the honor to submit a statement shewing the names of Inamdars who, it is reported by Amildars have, under the terms of their Sanads, a distinct right to distil arrack and who, under the orders conveyed in the late Chief Commr's letter No 1183-25 dated 27th June 1866, have been in receipt of License

shops, for three years
ending 31st March 1887,
as called for in the
above.

T. Munro Rice

Dy Commissioner.

Mysore Dist

MR

Statement shewing the names of Ināmdars who, under the terms of their sanads, have a distinct right to distil arrack and who, under the orders conveyed in the late Chief Commrs letter No 1183-25 dated 27th June 1866, have been in receipt of license fees of arrack shops in the Mysore District for the years 1884-5, 1885-6 and 1886-87.

Taluk and name of Inam village	Name of Inamdar	No. & situation of retail shops in each year	Name of retail vendor	amount of License fee recovered by Inamdar in each year	Fees on excess sales realized by Inamdar in each year	Remarks
Sivasamudram Jahagir- Malvalli Taluk. Enclosure Sl. 8.	Anandaraya Mudeliar	one shop at Belaka-vadi village attached to the Jahagir	Bolegowda			A license fee of Rs 24 per annum was levied & credited to Govt during the years 1884-85 1885-6 & 1886-87. No excess sales the Jahagirdar is being paid per annum from the total realization of arrack in the Province every year.

Dy Commissioners Office
Mysore, dated 16th July 1887.

T Macaulay
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

General Serial No. 30.) J.

4 188

24

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

(11)

SECTION S. R

FILE NO. 5 of 1886

SERIAL NO. 9

To

The Dy. Commissioner
Kadur District

Dated 15 July

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2644
No. 64

Sir, In the course of 1887-88

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of this letter No 185 dated 9th July 1888, forwarding a statement of Inamdars in the Kadur Dist who have been in receipt of License fees and fees on Excess Sales for the three years ending 31st March 1887.

With reference to the above, ~~requests~~ requests information as to the rate at which and the period for which the amounts entered in Column 5 of the State-ment have been

calculated —

Chief Secy.

(General Serial No. 29.)

1888.

3/84
10 JUL 88
22/
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

SECTION S. R.
FILE No. 5 a/86
10

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

12

Dated 26th
Despatched 26 July 1886.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 187
No.

Kadur

With reference to doc. No. 2649/64 of the 17th Instan has the honor to state tha the amount of License Fe shewn in Column 5 of the Statement sent with the office No. 2578/185 dated 9th Jun 1887 was entered as per ca culation noted in the ma gin.

I have &c
Col
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

H.S.

This margin is for Vacation Rate

1884-85.

Kasba Shops.	Rate No. of quarters.		Amount.
1. No. 1	18	4	72.0.0
2. No. 2	18	4	72.0.0
3. Village Shops	6	4	24.0.0
4. Do.	6	3	18.0.0
5. Do.	6	2	12.0.0
			198.0.0

1000-00.

Kasba Shops.	Rate No. of quarters.		Amount.
1. No. 1	18	4	72.0.0
2. No. 2	18	4	72.0.0
3. Village Shops	6	4	24.0.0
4. Do.	6	4	24.0.0
5. Do.	6	2	12.0.0
6. Do.	6	1	6.0.0
			210.0.0

Kasba Shops.	Rate per quarter	No. of quarters	Amount.
1. No. 1	18	4	72.0.0
2. No. 2	18	4	72.0.0
3. Village Shops	6	4	24.0.0
4. Do.	6	4	24.0.0
5. Do.	6	4	24.0.0
6. Do.	6	4	24.0.0
			Total for 3 years 594.0.0

Draft enclosures to Deputy Commissioner Kadur submitted herewith for 1078 26/7

OFFICE OF THE
4327
15 SEP 87
DEWAN COMMISSIONER

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 10th September 1887.

General No 897
No. 282

Enc: No.

Dewan's Proceedings No. 770-77 of the 26th May 1887.

Sir,

With reference to the above I have the honor to state that it appears from the reports of the Amildars and Deputy Amildars that none of the Shanadars in the District have under the terms of their Sannads, a distinct right to distil arrack, nor have they been in receipt of license fees or fees on excess sale of arrack. The Sidlaghatta Amildar reports that Jangam Kota Gundappa has not yet produced his Sannad, which is said to be at Bangalore and that no reply has been received

Submitted
8th
16-9-87

SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 5 of 8.
SUBJECT No. 11

25-11-94 8/8/87 to Kolar

received to his reference to the Bangalore Council on the subject. —

Deputy Commissioner

Pulli
22/4

ION S. R.

No. 5 a/86

No. 12

eneral Serial No. 31.)

1887-88. 4532

OFFICE OF THE
RECEIVED
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 20th September 1887

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 2440. } 1887-8

Dewan's Proceedings
No. 970-77 of the 26th Mar
32
last-calling for a Statement shewing all Inamdars who have a right to distil arrack and w under orders of Government, have a right the License fees, &c., on re fail arrack shops.

" "

Has the honour to submit herewith

God in the above

B. Ca

By Commissr

Mas

(General Serial No. 31.)

1887.

SECTION S R

FILE No. 5 a/86

Enclosures No.

Serial No. 3767

No. 139

Serial Serial No. 513)

1887.

33
18

SECTION S. R.

FILE No. 5 a/s 86

SERIAL No. 14

From Resident Magistrate Kisii

To The Dy. Comr. Mumias

Dated 3rd October 1887

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3768

No. 176

Has the honour to request that he will, after communicating with the Judgindas of Yalwa report whether the Judgindas has in his Judgment Salisbury right to destroy attack or not.

Yes

H. Leach

Correct
16/11

(General Serial No. 29.)

1887

SECTION 5 F

FILE NO. 5 of 86

SERIAL NO. 15

From The Deputy Commissio
Kolar District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of
Mysore

Dated 16th
Despatched 17th } November
Received

Enclosures No. 1

General. No. 1318
No. 389 } 1887—88.

This docket No. 3767/139
the 3d Ultimo.—

With reference to the
above, has the honor to su
mit herewith the Siddaya
-ta Amildar's Arzi No. 2
of the 7th Instant with
its enclosures in origina
from which it appears tha
none of the Inamdars in
the Taluk have a distinc
right to distil arrack

Deputy Commissioner

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:

ಸಹಿ/-

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಕಾಯಿದೆ, 1966 (ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಕಾಯಿದೆ 24/1966) ಕಲಂ 3 ರ ಉಪ ಕಲಂ (1) ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಎಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ನಡೆಸಲು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಅಧಿಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳ

5287

A.6

(General Serial No. 31.)

8237
1 FEB 88
INDIA OFFICE

1888. 609

4

From The Resident of Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 13th February/88

Despatched 14th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 729 } 188 :

Reports that Excise officials from the Nellamangalam are to be distributed as to the distilleries.

Bangalore C. 2/2 16/3/88

Mysore
Sir H. Lee Warner
Lieut-Col
Sir W. L. Campbell
Secretary
20/2

" 171

By Commissioner's
Mysore District,

SECTION S R. Dated Mysore 14th Feb: 1888.
NO. 5 of 86
To,
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your No. 3768/176 dated 3d. October 1887 I beg to state that the Jahgirdar of Yelandur was written to inquiring whether he has by Sanad the right to distil arrack in the Jahgir. No formal official reply has yet been received, and in answer to a D.O reminder Mr Krishna Murti promises to write fully and formally and says in the meantime that he has "the "right for arrack consumed in "the Yelandur Jahgir. There was "a still there till the Sudder "Distillery system was introduced "when the Jahgir was credited with "proportionate revenues."—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Ser

Assistant

pd

(General Serial No. 31.)

188 .

From

The Dy Commissioner Mysore Dist

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

OFFICE 308 13 APR 21 DEWAN OF MYSORE

27

Dated 13th April 1888

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 22 } 1888.
No.

In continuation of his Office No 12725 dated 16th July 1887 has the honor to submit copy of letter No. 1 of 3rd Instant from the Jahagirdar of Yelandoor about his right to distil arrack in his Jaghir –

(Signed) [illegible]
Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

Compd
[illegible]

Subd

The infn is complete but [illegible] this item from the Yellandur Jaghir. [illegible] 22/4

18/7

Rep
is
Submitted 16/4
[illegible]
18-4-88

[illegible] "this may be filed. There [illegible] no further action." X
[illegible]

SECTION S R

[illegible] 22/4

e Dewan's orders on Statement d/ 6th March 1888.
[illegible] 24/4

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

Tumkur 3rd April 1888.

27

From,

The Jaghirdar
of Yelandoor

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore &c &c

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your docket No: 1311 dated 6th October last enquiring whether I have a right to distil arrack in my Jaghire, I have the honor to answer the question in the affirmative. The documents bearing upon the subject I shall be glad to send when next I go to Mysore.

I have &c

[Signed] P. N. Krishnamurti
Jaghirdar of Yelandur

"Copy"

H. Meyrick Clay
For D. Commissioner
Mysore &c &c

Compd
W. Elliott

5 29

Rec'd:-

No 2
on file

Proceedings No 970-77/32 dated 26th May 1887 calling for a statement shewing the Inamdars who, under the terms of their Sannads, have a right to distil arrack, and who are in the enjoyment of licence fees and fees on Excise sales.

2. Replies to the above Proceedings, as follows:-

1. Letter No 185 d/9th July 1887 from Dy. Commr. Kadur Distt.
2. Letter No 440 d/20th Septr 18, from Dy. Com., Banga Distt.
3. Letter from the Dy. Com Mysore Dt., No 270 d/16th July,

The following papers relating to the claim of the Sringeri Matt to the Excise duty on arrack consumed within the

SECTION S. R.

FILE NO. 5 of 86

SERIAL NO 19

Extracts of the Inwards
reference —

1. Letters from the Dy. Com
Kadur District.
No. 205 d/ 27th July 18
No 422 d/ 13th Febr. 1
No. 119 d/ 21st June 1

2. Letter No 23 d/ 9th April
from the Dy. Comm
Bangalore District.

Order thereon :—

Under the orders conv
in the late Chief Comm
~~Madras~~ letter No 1183/25 date
27th June 1866, certain
Inamdars entitled
distil arrack under
Cannads, were allow
to collect License Fees
and fees on Excess sale
from Retail arrack Shops Esta
blished within their
Inams.

2. From statements



received from the Commr
in reply to Pro: No. 970-7/32
dated 26th May 1887; the
following Inamdars also
appear to be entitled to
this special item of
Revenue, viz:—

1. Inamdar of Boyamaranahalli (Kayamgutta
2. do of Kaglipur (Sarva Inam) ✓
3. do of Kodlur - (do)

4. Jagirdar of Swamadamudam (Sarva In

5. — do — of Srungeri Jagheer — (Sarva Inam

3. Previous to the abolition as a separate levy of fees on Licenses and Extra sales, the above-named Inamdars were levying them from the Retail shops established within their limits as follows:—

Name of Village	No of shops	Amount collected per annum on account of license fees Rs.	Amount collected per annum on account of Excess sales Rs.
1. Boyamaranhalli	1 (Village)	24	0.
2. Kaglipur	2 (Villages)	48	0.
3. Kodlur	1 (Village)	24	0.

4. Sivanasamudram Jaghire
(Belakvadi) —— 1 —— 24 ——

5. Srungeri Jaghire { 2 Hobli Cusba Shops — 144 ; 3¾ Villages Shops 90 } 234 —— 67.

4. The Govt. have, since 1st April 1887, abolished the fees in question, but levy, in lieu thereof, a Cess of 2 Annas per Gallon on the quantity sold — The Inamdars in question are probably entitled to whatever is levied from the shops in their Inam villages — The question for decision therefore is whether the Inamdars should be paid the proceeds of the 2 Anna Cess, or whatever they ~~used~~ to collected in the shape of license fees in 1886/87 ? Before passing final orders the question, the Dewan

57

desires to be furnished with information as to the quantity of arrack sold in the shops above-mentioned during the year

5. The case of the Sringeri Jahagire is upon a totally different footing. The Matt is entitled not merely to the fees in question like the other Inamdars named, but also to the excise duty levied upon the arrack consumed within the Jahagire, minus charges of management (Vide Chief Commrs order dy. 18th Octr 1869, No 3786/89). A copy of the Kadur Distt Dy. Comrs No 119 dy 21st June 1888 will accordingly be forwarded

... a Copy of ... Excise Dept.) will be ... as ... the above information as may be required by

14/7

To. The Dy Commr Bangalore Dt.



to the Dy. Commr of Bangalore, with a request that the statement of the Matti claim appended thereto may be verified and a bill submitted for refund of the duty at Rs 3-5-0 per gallon on arrack consumed within the Jahagire -

6. The claim of the Matt for the ~~fee~~ Cess of 2 annas per gallon in lieu of License fees will be disposed of in the orders on the General question referred to in para 4 above -

Chf Secy.

to. The Dy Commrs, Bangalore, Mysore and Kadur Distts

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2723

No. 119

SECTION S. R

5 of 86

20

30

3 drafts

8-8-86 94249 157 33715 8-8-86

The Secretary to the Govt.

The Commissioner of Trichinopoly

July 1887

3 Drafts

His letter No. 270 dated 6th July 1887, submitting statement shewing the amts of Inamdars titled ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ to fees on ~~xxxxx~~ license rented for retail arrack shops within the Inam villages -

With reference to the remark in the Statement submitted with the above, the Dy. Commr is requested to report what sum was actually paid yearly to the Jaghiredar of Srivanasamudram during each of the three yrs previous to 31st March 1887,

c co

7/8

the Papers received to d
submitted for
Chief Sec. to Govt
6/9.

Rec'd
11/9. Please peruse the
proceedings quoted in the
minute of remarks on
the accounts. Statement -
MSR
11/9.



(General Serial No. 29.)

3811
17 AUG 88

1888.

From The Dy Commissr
Mysore Distt

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

33

151

ed 15 August/88

patched

cived

Enclosures No.

eral No. 337
No.

His No: 2323/119, dated
Ultimo, calling
report what sum
as actually pai
rarily, to the Jaglu
Sivasamudram
ring each of the
years previous
31st March/87, a
count of licens
es, on the retail
rack shops with

Dios Jaglin

Has the honor to submit a statement shewing the amount actually paid to the Jaglindars of Sivasamudrum, for 3 years, as directed in the above.

I. Munda Rao

By Commissioner
Mysore Dists

Compd
Accounts. MM

Statement showing the sums paid yearly, to the Jaigirdar of Sa
Coorg, during the last three years ending 31st March 188

For what year	Amount	Date of payment	Remarks.
For 1884-85	2789 "	11th December/87	Sanctioned in the Dewan Pro: No: 4497/179 dated 17th November 185.
For 1885-86	2789 "	10th April/88	Sanctioned in the Dewan's Pro: No: 5476-7/253 dated 21st December 1887.
For 1886-87	2789 "		

Mysore Br Comr's Office
Dated August 1888

12) 278-9
23-3-5

WM

Camp d
Melvetta.

Thanda Ramiah
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

SECTION S. D.

FILE NO. 5 of 86

SER'AL NO. 22

Read Dewan's Prop No 2726 of 14 [illegible]
105
in regard to the claim of certain Inamdars to the 2 Anna Cess levied in lieu of license fees on retail arrack shops.

Read Bang. Dy Comr's letter No 415 of 25th Septr 1888, submitting statement shewing the realizations of the said 2 Anna Cess in certain villages for the year 1887-88.

Order

The refund to the Inamdars named in the margin of the Cess of 2 Anna Cess on retail Shops in their villages is sanctioned, as per particulars in margin for 1887/88.

2. The Excise Comr with be requested to refund to them the Cess realizations for 1888/89, and to Communicate to the Inamdars Concerned the decision of the Govt as above on their claims for the Cess in question -

		Gallons	Rs. A. P.
Bangalore	Bayamaranhalli	256.	32. 0. 0
do -	Kaikanhalli	292.	36-8-0
do -	Uoreyatte -	154 -	19-4-0
Kadur -	Koppa -	729	138. 2. 0
		142	
	Strugeri	45.	
	Kallahalli	74	
	Bamagondua	115 -	
	Kenchihalli		
	Sarakabagalu or Hosagrara		

The Swami Samudram holder is not entitled to license fee or to the 2 Anna Cess, under the Cess of 26 Oct 1869 - But [illegible] under the same Order to an annual payment [illegible] his right to the Excise Revenue

8/5/89.

has been regularly made
and the Bill for the
Amount (Rs 278.9.0) due
for 1887/88 recd. with
Mysore Dist letter No 810 dd
to Mr. Rice dtd
hereby sanctioned -

Secretariat
Completion with sanctioned Bill
referred to in para 3.
Bangr. Hasan, Mysore Dts.

68
1889

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

Dated 8th May 1889.

...g the claim of certain Inamdars
the two anna cess levied in lieu of license
on retail arrack shops.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPERS:—

Dewan's Proceedings No. 2726—105, dated 14th July 1888, in regard to the claim of certain Inam ars to the two anna cess levied in lieu of license fees on retail arrack shops.

Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 415, dated 25th September 1888, submitting a statemer owing the realizations from the said two anna cess in certain Inam villages for the year 1887—88.

No. 1019-4—121, DATED BANGALORE, 8TH MAY 1889.

ORDER THEREON.—The refund to the Inamdars named in the margin of the rea lizations of two ann cess on retail shop in their villages, i sanctioned as pe particulars in mar gin for 1887—88

District.	Taluk.	Name of Inam Village.	Quantity of arrack sold in 1887—88	Amount of two anna cess thereon.		
			Gallons.	Rs.	A.	P.
Bangalore.	Bangalore.	Boyamarnahalli	256	32	0	0
Do	Kankanhalli.	Kaglipura	292	36	8	0
Do	Closepet ...	Kodlur	154	19	4	0
Kadur ...	Koppa ...	Sringeri	729			
		Kelavalli	142			
		Bemagudda	45	138	2	0
		Kenchabili	74			
		Saradabagalu or Hosagrara ...	115			

2. The Excise Commissioner will be requested to refund to them the cess realizations for 1888—89, and to communicate to the Inamdars concerned the deci sion of the Government as above on their claims for the cess in question.

3. The Sivan Samudram Jahgirdar is *not* entitled to the license fee or to the 2 anna cess under the Chief Commissioner's Order dated 26th October 1867. Bu he is entitled under the same orders to an annual payment of Rs. 278—9—0 n commutation of his right to the Excise revenue of his Jahgir. This annual payment has been regularly made and the bill for the amount (Rs. 278—9—0) due for 1887—88 received with Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 810 dated 13th March 1889, is hereby sanctioned.

LJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Excise Commissioner of Mysore,
The Comptroller with sanctioned bill referred to in para 3.
The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Kadur and Mysore Distri